

Message

From: Chergo, Jennifer [Chergo.Jennifer@epa.gov]
Sent: 6/6/2019 3:43:13 PM
To: CAG Administrator [vbi170cag@gmail.com]
CC: Aviles, Jesse [Aviles.Jesse@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Draft Agenda for EPA Quarterly Public Meeting June 18

Hi Kim. Yes, we can for sure acknowledge the CAG in the introduction at the meeting as you describe below. And yes, we will provide an update on the proposed deletion process and as much detail on the context around current OU2 RI activities as we can do. Regarding the studies, etc., I just haven't had time to confer with Jesse. We will send you something as soon as we can...

From: CAG Administrator <vbi170cag@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 5, 2019 8:11 PM
To: Chergo, Jennifer <Chergo.Jennifer@epa.gov>
Cc: Aviles, Jesse <Aviles.Jesse@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Draft Agenda for EPA Quarterly Public Meeting June 18

Hi Jennifer,

Will you please confirm my requested changes to the agenda and send me the links to the reports and studies EPA has used to date in making its request to Denver to update/expand it's investigation?

Thank you.

Kim

On Jun 2, 2019, at 9:40 PM, CAG Administrator <vbi170cag@gmail.com> wrote:

Jennifer,

I appreciate you calling me Friday afternoon. Your timing was impeccable as I rarely leave the office and take time away from my desk.

As I shared with you, with CAG being an EPA vehicle for communication with and input from the community, it would be mutually beneficial if EPA would start treating VB/I70 CAG as more of a partner in the work being done within the site. I'd appreciate it if the introduction acknowledges that a CAG exists for this site, what a CAG's purpose is and that this quarterly update is being conducted in place of this month's standing CAG meeting.

The June agenda you shared with me looks much like the agenda covered at the last EPA quarterly update. It's important to mention the status of the OU1 delisting process and where things stand with regards to responding to comments and next steps. I don't know that more than 10 or so minutes needs to be spent on the topic. I think there will be more for EPA to share in July when Jesse expects to respond to the comments.

What is important to talk about is OU2. While it may be that there has not been all that much movement on the revision of the RI, this process started a year ago. Background and specifics on why EPA asked the city to revise its data and the investigation, what criteria EPA is seeking from the city, what the RI process and timeline looks like are of interest and necessary for the CAG and community

members to participate in future recommendations and comments. We will look forward to future conversations and presentations of information as the RI continues to evolve but for this meeting, I'd like Jesse to share the requested information in order to provide the community with a foundation for this site.

To enable the CAG to prepare for our participation in the RI process, I am requesting that you or Jesse send us the studies, created to date, that informed EPA's request to Denver to revise it's RI and that will be used as part of EPA's overall evaluation. Will you please send links to these reports to me by the end of this week (Friday, June 7th)?

Thank you.


Kim

On Fri, May 31, 2019 at 1:37 PM Chergo, Jennifer <Chergo.Jennifer@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi Kim. Nice talking with you today. Attached is the draft agenda for our quarterly meeting. Please let me know if you or the CAG have anything you would like to add. I know the introduction part may be simplistic and kind of repetitive for the CAG, but we want to make sure there is some introduction to the subject for anyone new at the meeting. We will consider all of your edits/input and include all those that align with our goals for the meeting as well.

Thanks and have a great weekend,

-jc



Public Affairs Specialist

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, CO 80202

(303) 312-6601 desk / (303) 548-6998 cell